



University Budget Committee Meeting
November 4, 2022
Covell 117 and via Zoom

MINUTES

Agenda

1. Additions or changes to the UBC work plan?
2. Work Group Interests
3. Outline of Tuition Planning for 2023-24 & Initial Discussion
4. Tuition Issues over and above base rates (as time allows)

Committee Members Present: Nicole von Germeten, Jon Boeckenstedt, Joe Page, Terri Libert, Staci Simonich, Tim Carroll, Jeff Luck, Mya Kuzmin, Marc Norcross, Xander Robertson, Zackery Allen, Lonni Ivey

Committee Members Absent: Islam Elwefati, Lisa Gaines, John Gremmels, Jessica DuPont

University Staff Present: Sherm Bloomer, Nicole Dolan, Kayla Campbell, Mealoha McFadden

1. Discussed work plan but no additional changes were made.
2. Workgroup interests (brief issue description below):
 - a. Charge by campus structure and needs
 - i. Currently charge by course type; Corvallis non-resident students using Ecampus pay a lot less per course, Corvallis resident students using Ecampus pay more
 - ii. Charging by campus (Corvallis, Ecampus, Bend) would be fairer and independent of modality
 - iii. Questions of how a student can change campuses (criteria, who monitors, etc.), impact on financial aid and enrollment, can it be phased in, impacts on particular groups (some athletics teams for example)
 - iv. Volunteers: Jessica DuPont, Shaun Bromagem, Joe Page, Terri Libert
 - b. Differential and course fee structures
 - i. While there are guidelines on differential tuition they are broad and most colleges could apply. Should we 1) just leave current practices as is; 2) roll all differential into general tuition, 3) identify tiers of no, low, moderate, high-differential colleges to provide some structure?

- ii. Current course fee policies are somewhat broad. There are a large number (~2,000), many generate small amounts and the potential for proliferation is high. Should we narrow the criteria significantly, roll current course fees into tuition, and make up the revenue to colleges in budget?
 - iii. Volunteers: Staci Simonich, Lonni Ivey, Xander Robertson, Nicole von Germeten, Mya Kuzmin, Marc Norcross
- c. Contribution margin guidelines and structure
 - i. Understanding the relative financial cost or return of a college requires assigning revenue, direct costs, and indirect costs (for example a share of the library or a share of facilities).
 - ii. Need criteria for fairly assigning revenue and indirect costs. This analysis will inform “calibrating” the revised budget model.
 - iii. Volunteers: Shaun Bromagem, Frank Chaplen, jeff Luck, Shaun Bromagem, Tim Carroll, Marc Norcross, Nicole von Germeten

Follow up: Finalize workgroup members and invite to meetings.

- 3. Outline of tuition planning for 2023-24 and initial discussion
 - a. Guidelines from last year
 - b. Estimates of OSU inflation for 2023-24 – 3.9%
 - c. Implications for base and differential tuition rates
- 4. Tuition issues over and above base rates (as time allows)
 - a. Charge by campus overview and issues
 - b. Course fee overview and issues
 - c. Differential tuition overview and issues

Follow up: Sherm will work with Jon B. to report on graduate student enrollment trends.